University of Utah

Summer 2019, ENGL 3702 Intro Lit Hist 2 Section 90

Instructor: HALSTROM, TIMOTHY (Primary)

There were: 37 possible respondents.



1101	e were. 37 possible res	Pom	delits.														
	Question Text	N	RR	Avg	ENGL Avg	ENGL SU19	Div Avg	Div SU19	Sch Avg	Sch SU19							
Grp	Course Questions			5.4	5.35		5.21		5.15	5.90							
Grp	Instructor Questions (HALSTROM)			5.11	5.48		5.35		5.29	5.09							
											Str Disagree	Disagree	Mild Disagree	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree	
1	Objectives clearly stated	6	16%	5.67	5.36	5.53	5.27	5.48	5.24	5.38					33% (2)	67% (4)	
2	Objectives met	6	16%	5.33	5.36	5.46	5.26	5.47	5.22	5.32			17% (1)		17% (1)	67% (4)	
3	Content well- organized	6	16%	5.67	5.30	5.45	5.18	5.36	5.12	5.21					33% (2)	67% (4)	
4	Course materials helpful	6	16%	5.33	5.38	5.5	5.17	5.37	5.11	5.22			17% (1)		17% (1)	67% (4)	
5	Assignments & exams covered the course	6	16%	5.17	5.44	5.54	5.28	5.51	5.19	5.30			17% (1)	17% (1)		67% (4)	
6	Learned great deal	6	16%	5.33	5.31	5.45	5.15	5.42	5.11	5.23			17% (1)		17% (1)	67% (4)	
7	Overall effective course	6	16%	5.33	5.31	5.44	5.16	5.42	5.10	5.22			17% (1)		17% (1)	67% (4)	
9	This course challenged me intellectually	5	14%	5.4	5.38	5.53	5.38	5.53	5.20	5.43				20% (1)	20% (1)	60% (3)	
10	Writing was carefully read	5	14%	4.4	5.43	5.51	5.43	5.51	5.40	5.51	20% (1)		20% (1)			60% (3)	
											Str Disagree	Disagree	Mild Disagree	Mild Agree	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
11	Technical assistance provided Online	5	14%		4.94	4.92	5.00	5.02	5.08	5.14							100 (5)
12	Easy to schedule Online	5	14%		5.39	5.36	5.15	5.33	5.32	5.36							100
																	Page

13	Recommend to a friend Online	5	14%	5.6	5.04	5	5.15	5.27	5.21	5.20				40% (2)	60% (3)
15	Instructor was organized (HALSTROM)	5	14%	5.2	5.33	5.52	5.25	5.46	5.23	5.32			20% (1)	40% (2)	40% (2)
16	Instructor presented effectively (HALSTROM)	5	14%	5	5.36	5.37	5.22	5.43	5.14	5.25		20% (1)		40% (2)	40% (2)
17	Instructor created respectful environment (HALSTROM)	5	14%	5.2	5.51	5.68	5.40	5.61	5.35	5.48			20% (1)	40% (2)	40% (2)
18	Demonstrated thorough knowledge (HALSTROM)	5	14%	5.2	5.65	5.62	5.49	5.60	5.44	5.50			20% (1)	40% (2)	40% (2)
19	Instructor encouraged questions/ opinions (HALSTROM)	5	14%	5.2	5.56	5.64	5.43	5.61	5.35	5.44			20% (1)	40% (2)	40% (2)
20	Instructor available for student consultation (HALSTROM)	5	14%	5	5.54	5.56	5.38	5.56	5.31	5.42		20% (1)		40% (2)	40% (2)
21	Overall effective instructor (HALSTROM)	5	14%	5	5.45	5.51	5.30	5.53	5.24	5.35		20% (1)		40% (2)	40% (2)

Instructor	Text Responses							
	Question: Comments on course effectiveness							
	The assignments were clear, but there was no supplemental lesson or feedback - it's fine to be told to read something and discuss it, but it helpful to have a lesson or an idea of things to pay attention to while reading.							
	The course layout was easy to understand and the modules were clear and it was easy to know what was going on for that week.							
	Syllabus clearly states what is expected. Each Assignment states what you need.							
	Question: Provide comments about the online components of the course							
	N/A							
	Easy to navigate. Also very convenient.							
	Question: Instructor Comments							

HALSTROM	I would have appreciated more feedback on writing assignments. It would have been nice if grades were kept up to date as well. It is hard going into finals week without any idea of your course standing.
	Instructor gave constructive criticism that was really helpful. The layout of the class was easy to understand.
HALSTROM	Concepts were built effectively. Also discussion were helpful in giving us ideas for response papers.